Question/Activity	Likely response	Rationale
In teaching about the Earth we can		Preparation for
use investigations to explore Earth		bridging from the
processes. This example investigates		model to real Earth
how magma erupts through the		processes
Earthlearningidea, 'See how they run'		
We have here	Some treacle – which we are going to use to mimic lava	
We first need to think how we can	Some might say;	Construction =
change the runniness (viscosity) of the	 more runny – heat it up 	applying previous
treacle by:	 more runny – add liquid – like 	patterns to this
making it more runny or	water	problem
 making it less runny 	 less runny cool it down 	Metacognition =
Discuss this with your group	 less runny add solid material – 	explaining reasoning
	like sand	
Now, we need to devise tests to see	We could warm one boiling tube	Cognitive conflict =
how these work. It is best if we test	in a hand while leaving the other	which tests will work
each of the variables (heat, water and	at room temperature	best?
sand) separately	We could heat the boiling tube in	Metacognition =
Let's start with the heating	a water bath while recording the	explaining reasoning
investigation, by putting some treacle	temperature and:	
into a boiling tube Which investigation option is best?	 pour it onto a sloping tile and time it 	
Discuss these with your group	 pour it onto a sloping petri dish 	
	and time ittime how long it takes a drop to	
	fall from the tube to the table	
	 time how long it takes to flow to 	
	the mouth of the tube	
Now consider the addition of sand and	Test the sand and water in	Cognitive conflict =
water. Which investigations are best	separate petri dishes; keep a dish	which tests will work
for these? Discuss these with your	of unaltered treacle as a control:	best?
group	 mix treacle with water and time 	Metacognition =
5 - 1	how long it takes to flow down	explaining reasoning
	the sloping dish	
	 mix it with sand - ditto 	
Carry out the tests	 hotter = more runny 	
2	 with water = more runny 	
	• with sand = less runny	
Ask how we could improve these	By measuring carefully – the	
investigations and use the results to	temperature and amounts of	
plot graphs	treacle, water and sand, and	
	plotting these	
Ask:		Bridging = from the
 if we have magma that is hot, 	Hot magma with water and no	investigation to
contains water and no solid material	solid flows quickly	reality
(no crystals) will it flow quickly or		
slowly?		
 If we have magma that is cooler, 	Cooler magma with no water	
contains little water but a lot of	and crystals flows slowly	
crystals, will it flow quickly or slowly?		Cognitive conflict
Show them the diagrams of two	 Volcano X = quick-flowing 	Cognitive conflict =
volcanoes, ask which of these was		applying flow rate ideas to the
formed by the quick-flowing, runny magma that was extruded as lava	 Volcano Y = slow-flowing 	diagrams
		ulayianis
		1
Which was formed by the slow-flowing lava?		
lava?		Bridging = from the
lava? Explain that in volcano Y, some of the		Bridging = from the investigation to
lava?		Bridging = from the investigation to reality

pressure built up and built up until there was a catastrophic eruption.		
Ask which of these sorts of eruption you would like to watch	Volcano X = it is fairly safe – like Hawaiian volcanoes – I have been within 5m of flowing Hawaiian lava – and survived! You don't want to see Volcano Y erupt – 57 people died in the Mt. St Helens eruption.	

Scientific accuracy:

- Whilst the treacle model of magma correctly shows that the temperature of the magma, the amount of crystals it contains and its water/gas content (as well as its composition), all play key roles in how explosive eruptions are ...
- ... water content has the opposite effect than that shown by the treacle model.
- For complex reasons, the more water a volcanic magma contains, the more explosive it becomes.